UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,477	06/23/2006	Thomas Hille	RO4283US (#90568)	3321
D. Peter Hochb	7590 05/13/2009 erg Co., L.P.A.	EXAMINER		
The Baker Build		BARHAM, BETHANY P		
6th Floor 1940 East 6th Street Cleveland, OH 44114-2294			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/584,477	HILLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BETHANY BARHAM	1615			
 Period for	· The MAILING DATE of this communication app · Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[7]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Fe</u>	ahruary 2000				
'=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	on of Claims					
·		annlication				
· —	4) Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-47 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 25-47</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement				
0)[Sallin(s) <u>1-23 and 25-47</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)∐ T	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

This Application has been transferred from Examiner Hawthrone to Examiner Barham. It is noted that the Applicant's traversed the previous restriction by the previous Examiner in their response on 2/24/09 since no prior art was submitted to break unity, as such the previous restriction has been withdrawn and a new restriction is now required.

New Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8 and 25-31, drawn to a wound dressing.

Group II, claim(s) 9-15, drawn to an adhesive wound dressing.

Group III, claim(s) 16-17, 33-34, 36-37 and 42-43, drawn to a process for producing a wound dressing.

Group IV, claim(s) 18, 44, and 46, drawn to a process for producing an adhesive wound dressing.

Group V, claim(s) 19-22, 32, 35, 45 and 47, drawn to a different process for producing an adhesive wound dressing.

Group VI, claim(s) 23, drawn to use of a wound dressing.

Group VII, claim(s) 38-39, drawn to a different wound dressing.

Group VIII, claim(s) 40-41, drawn to another adhesive wound dressing.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature is a "wound dressing for treating bleeding wounds" comprising "adrenaline", which is taught in the prior art GB 1161528 teaching a treatment of wounds with a dressing comprising a swab soaked in a solution containing adrenaline (pg. 1, col. 1; claims 1 and 5). As such there is no special technical feature that makes a contribution over the prior art and unity is lacking.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product

Art Unit: 1615

claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BETHANY BARHAM whose telephone number is (571)272-6175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,477 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bethany Barham Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/Tracy Vivlemore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635